

Dynamics and Instabilities of Planar Tensile Cracks in Heterogeneous Media

Sharad Ramanathan and Daniel S. Fisher

Physics Department, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

(February 1, 2008)

The dynamics of tensile crack fronts restricted to advance in a plane are studied. In an ideal linear elastic medium, a propagating mode along the crack front with a velocity slightly less than the Rayleigh wave velocity, is found to exist. But the dependence of the effective fracture toughness $\Gamma(v)$ on the crack velocity is shown to destabilize the crack front if $\frac{d\Gamma}{dv} < 0$. Short wavelength radiation due to weak random heterogeneities leads to this instability at low velocities. The implications of these results for the crack dynamics are discussed.

PACSnumbers:62.20.Mk, 03.40.Dz, 46.30.Nz, 81.40.Np

The conditions under which solids fail by extension of pre-existing cracks are quite well established [1], but the *dynamics* of crack-front propagation is poorly understood. Experiments show a variety of types of crack surfaces—including fractal roughness over a wide range of length scales [2]—and erratic dynamics of the local crack-front velocity [3]. With the exception of the uniform motion of a straight crack-front of a planar crack in an ideal elastic solid, there has not been, until recently, much theoretical analysis of these problems. Indeed, even what determines in which direction a section of crack-front will advance is not understood [4–6], although it is clear that this involves physics on smaller scales than the regime of continuum elasticity theory. This has been the subject of substantial recent attention [5,6], but the effects of heterogeneities, side branching and other effects are only just starting to be addressed.

In this paper, we consider a seemingly much simpler problem: the dynamics of a tensile (mode I) crack that is confined to a plane in a weakly heterogeneous material. In this case, the local dynamics of the crack-front is determined by energy conservation and we can therefore use continuum mechanics with the non-linear small scale physics near the crack-front just determining the local fracture toughness. But we shall see that, nevertheless, the combined effect of even weak heterogeneities and elastodynamics can be dramatic.

In a scalar approximation to elasticity, Perrin and Rice [7] found that to lowest order in a weak randomness perturbation theory, heterogeneities in the fracture toughness led to slow—logarithmic in time—roughening of a planar crack-front suggesting that this system does not reach a statistical steady state. In this paper, we first show that the dynamics of a tensile crack are very different from the scalar approximation. In particular, in an ideal system with constant fracture toughness, Γ , a mode I crack that is advancing with velocity v , supports a *propagating mode* along the crack-front with a velocity that is slightly slower than the Rayleigh wave velocity, c , and depends weakly on v . This mode does not exist in the scalar approximation and its presence dramatically enhances the effects of weak heterogeneities. Furthermore if the toughness $\Gamma(v)$ is not constant but decreases with

velocity, the propagating mode—and hence the crack-front—becomes *unstable*. A perturbative analysis of the effects of random local heterogeneities is found to yield an effective $\Gamma(v)$ whose contributions from short wavelength acoustic emission indeed make $\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial v} < 0$ at least for v/c small. The implications of these results are discussed and we speculate on possible scenarios suggested by them.

We study a tensile crack confined to the plane $y = 0$ with the crack open in the region $x < F(z, t)$. The normal displacement has a discontinuity across the crack, i.e., $u_y(y = 0^+) \neq u_y(y = 0^-)$, while the normal stresses, $\sigma_{iy}(y = 0^\pm)$ vanish on the crack surfaces. Under an external load, the displacement field u_y has a $\sqrt{F(z, t) - x}$ singularity at the crack-front with an amplitude proportional to the local mode I stress intensity factor, $K_I(z, t)$. As long as the crack remains planar, the loading is purely mode I so we write $K \equiv K_I$. The system is under a static load applied very far away so that for a straight crack at rest, (i.e., $F = \text{constant}$), $K = K^\infty = \text{constant}$. The crack is considered to be initially at rest (at large negative times) and move a total distance small compared to its length and the sample dimensions and for a time less than the sound travel times across the sample.

If the crack-front advances, $F \rightarrow F + \delta F$, an energy per unit area of new crack $\Gamma[x = F(z, t), z]$ must be provided to the crack front in order to fracture the solid; in an ideal quasi-equilibrium situation this is just twice the solid-vacuum inter-facial energy density, more generally it is the local fracture toughness that includes the effects of small scale physics for which linear continuum elasticity is not valid. The fracture energy will be provided to the crack-front by a flux of stored elastic energy per unit area of new crack $G(z, t, \{F\})$, which in general depends on the past history of the crack and its instantaneous local velocity $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}$. The dynamics of the crack is given by

$$G[z, t, \{F(t' \leq t)\}] = \Gamma[x = F(z, t), z] \quad (1)$$

for all z and t .

The available energy, G , has the general form

$$G = \Phi[v_\perp(z, t)] G_R[z, t, \{F(t' < t)\}] \quad (2)$$

where G_R , which is independent of $\partial F(z, t)/\partial t$, is the available elastic energy at (z, t) for a crack at rest locally and $v_\perp = \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} / \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}\right)^2}$ is the local velocity normal to the crack front. For a straight crack $F = \text{constant}$, $G_R = G^\infty = (K^\infty)^2 (1 - \nu^2) / E$, with E Young's modulus and ν Poisson's ratio [8]. The fraction of G_R available for fracture $\Phi(v_\perp)$, decreases linearly from unity for small $v_\perp$ and goes to zero for $v_\perp = c$, yielding, for a straight crack with uniform toughness, a monotonic $v(G^\infty) = \Phi^{-1}(G^\infty/\Gamma)$ for G^∞ greater than the Griffith threshold, i.e., $G^\infty > \Gamma$.

In a weakly inhomogeneous material, one can hope to perturbatively expand about a uniformly opening crack, writing

$$\begin{aligned} F(z, t) &= vt + f(z, t), \\ \Gamma(x, z) &= \Gamma_0[1 + \gamma(x, z)] \\ \text{and} \quad G &= G_0[1 + g(z, t)]. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The variation in the available energy for a small crack-front distortion has the form:

$$g = -P \otimes f + S(f, f) + T(f, f, f) + O(f^4) \quad (4)$$

where in Fourier space $z \rightarrow k, t \rightarrow \omega$

$$\begin{aligned} P = |k| \{ & \frac{2c}{c^2 - v^2} \sqrt{c^2 - \sigma^2} - \frac{a}{a^2 - v^2} \sqrt{a^2 - \sigma^2} \\ & + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint dW I(W, \sigma^2) \} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \frac{2v^2 W - \sigma^2(W + v^2)}{\sqrt{W(W - \sigma^2)}(W - v^2)^2} \\ &\times \ln \left[\left(2 - \frac{W}{b^2}\right)^2 - 4\sqrt{1 - \frac{W}{a^2}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{W}{b^2}} \right]; \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

the contour integral circling the cut in the complex W plane from $W = b^2$ to $W = a^2$ with a and b the longitudinal and transverse sound velocities respectively; the argument of the logarithm in Eq. (6) the function whose zero is $W = c^2$; $\sigma^2 \equiv \frac{\omega^2}{k^2} + v^2$ the square of a phase velocity in the rest frame; and $\omega \rightarrow \omega + i0$ needed to define all cuts e.g., $\sqrt{c^2 - \sigma^2} = -i \text{sign}(\omega) \sqrt{\sigma^2 - c^2}$ for large ω . The functionals S and T are bi- and tri- linear, respectively, with each consisting of a sum of many terms that are sequences of multiplications and convolutions ($\otimes$) involving $P(k, \omega; v)$ and functions related to it. The linear kernel, P , can be derived from the results of Movchan and Willis [9] but a simpler method was developed to obtain the non-linear terms; it will not be reproduced here. For large ω , $P \approx -i\omega \left(-\frac{d \ln \Phi}{dv_\perp}\right)$ representing the dependence of G on local velocity, while for $\omega = 0$, $P \propto |k|$ representing the long range stiffness of the crack (as found in the scalar elasticity approximation) [7]. Generally, for

$|\omega| < \sqrt{c^2 - v^2}|k|$, the imaginary part $P''(k, \omega) = 0$ since no bulk or crack-surface modes can carry away energy in this regime. But, perhaps surprisingly, for a particular $\omega < c|k|$, $P[\omega = \pm s(v)|k|] = 0$ implying a propagating mode along the crack-front with velocity $s(v)$ in the moving frame and $\frac{s(v)}{\sqrt{c^2 - v^2}}$ increasing from 0.94 for $v = 0$ to 1 for $v \rightarrow c$. Evidence for this propagating mode is seen in recent numerical simulations of Morrissey and Rice [10]. This is in striking contrast to the scalar approximation for which $P = \frac{c}{c^2 - v^2} \sqrt{k^2(c^2 - v^2) - \omega^2}$ yielding a $1/\sqrt{t}$ decay of the maximum distortion at long times after a localized disturbance caused by a tough region.

Damping of bulk sound modes can be taken into account by replacing ω^2 by $\omega^2/(1 - i\omega\tau_d)$ in P (and S and T). This yields a damping rate of the propagating crack-front mode proportional to $\omega^2\tau_d$. The leading effect of weak heterogeneities can readily be seen to yield a mean square roughness in steady state:

$$\begin{aligned} C(z) &\equiv \langle |f(z + z', t) - f(z', t)|^2 \rangle \\ &= \int_k \int_\omega \frac{\hat{\Upsilon}(q = -\frac{\omega}{v}, k)(2 - 2 \cos kz)}{v|P(k, \omega)|^2} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

with $\hat{\Upsilon}$ the Fourier transform of the correlations $\langle \gamma(x, z)\gamma(x', z') \rangle$ of the fractional toughness variations. The propagating mode yields at large separations $|z| \gg cl/v$

$$C(z) \sim \frac{|z|}{v\tau_d} \langle \gamma^2 \rangle^2 \quad (8)$$

where l is a characteristic correlation length of the toughness variations. This is much rougher than the $C(z) \sim \frac{cl^2}{v} \ln^2(|z|/c\tau_d)$ or $C(z) \sim \frac{cl^2}{v} \ln(|z|/c\tau_d)$ obtained, respectively, in the scalar or quasi-static ($P = -i\omega/c + |k|$) approximations to the stress transfer.

As we shall see, the roughness of Eq. (8) is caused by a straight moving crack being at the boundary of a regime of stability so that small perturbations—which we will find can be driven by the randomness induced fluctuations—can cause it to become much more unstable.

We are particularly interested in the behavior on length and time scales much longer than the scales of variations of the toughness, i.e., l and l/v respectively. On long scales, one might expect that the crack-front would have a well defined coarse-grained position $\tilde{f}$ that does not vary much, even if on small scales the local velocities and local angles, $\partial f/\partial z$, are strongly fluctuating. The long wavelength and low frequency components of the elastic energy flux into the crack, $\tilde{G}$ (or $\tilde{g}$) will still be given by $\tilde{g} = -P \otimes \tilde{f}$. But the non-linear effects of the random toughness and the non-linearities in $G[\{F\}]$ will make the effective toughness $\tilde{\Gamma}$ include contributions from radiation of short wavelength sound waves as well as other small scale physics such as plasticity, etc. These cause the effective toughness to increase and become dependent on the coarse-grained velocity and history. If the

randomness is effectively averaged out, on long scales the crack will be moving almost uniformly with a mean velocity $\bar{v}$ determined by

$$\tilde{\Gamma}[\bar{v}, \{\Gamma\}] = G^\infty \Phi(\bar{v}) \quad (9)$$

with $\tilde{\Gamma}$ depending on the distribution and spatial correlations of the microscopic $\Gamma(x, z)$.

Naively, it would appear that this system is stable as long as $v(G^\infty)$ is single valued, i.e., if $\tilde{A} \equiv \frac{\partial \ln \tilde{\Gamma}}{\partial \bar{v}} > \frac{d \ln \Phi}{d \bar{v}}$. But, in fact, the elastodynamics implies that it is unstable at finite wavelengths unless $\frac{\partial \tilde{\Gamma}}{\partial \bar{v}} > 0$. Linearizing in perturbations $\tilde{f}$ about a putative fixed velocity steady state, given by Eq (9), we have for long wavelengths and low frequencies, $-i\omega \tilde{A} \tilde{f} = -P \tilde{f}$. For $\tilde{A} > 0$, the response function $\tilde{\chi} = \frac{1}{P - i\omega \tilde{A}}$ has no singularities in the upper half plane and thus the system is stable. The perturbative effects of the residual small randomness will then result in fluctuations in $\tilde{f}$ that are strongly suppressed and more like the quasi-static result, with $C(z) \sim \frac{l^2}{vA} \ln z$ for small $\tilde{A}$.

With the dynamics appropriate with $\tilde{A} > 0$, it can be shown that non-linear terms consistent with the symmetry are irrelevant at long scales (in contrast to the case of a simple elastic line moving through a random potential). Thus the long wavelength low frequency behavior for $\tilde{A} > 0$ is quite simple, although the dynamic correlations will still reflect the elastodynamics unless $\tilde{A}$ is very large. But for $\tilde{A} < 0$, $\tilde{\chi}$ will have poles in the upper half plane corresponding to *unstable* propagating modes with $\omega \approx \pm s(v)|k| - i\eta(v)|k|$ with $\eta \propto \tilde{A}c^2 < 0$.

Since we have begun with a more microscopic description of the system, it should be possible to compute the large scale response function perturbatively for weak heterogeneities and thereby find the sign of $\tilde{A}$ for the case in which the microscopic $\Gamma(x, z)$ is *not* velocity dependent. It is somewhat simpler to compute a slightly different quantity: $\chi(k, \omega) \equiv \frac{\delta f(k, \omega)}{\delta \epsilon(k, \omega)}$ which is the response to extra energy per unit area added at the crack-front (or roughly equivalently a decrease in the toughness); this will yield the behavior of perturbations and hence also the randomness induced fluctuations.

The unperturbed response function is $\chi = \chi_o = \frac{1}{P}$. Since the interesting regime is near where P vanishes, a direct expansion of χ in powers of Υ is not useful. Thus instead, we expand

$$\chi^{-1} \equiv P - \Sigma \quad (10)$$

with Σ (the analog of a “self-energy”) expected to be well behaved at small k and ω ; we will thus focus on this. Conventional Feynman diagrammatic techniques can be used via a Martin-Siggia-Rose formulation of the dynamics, analogous to treatments of, e.g., charge density wave dynamics [11]. The main complication here is the form of the non-linearities. In addition to the non-linearities from expanding $\Gamma[x = vt + f(z, t)]$ in powers of f , there

are also the non-linear terms in $G[\{F\}]$, Eq. (4), arising from the moving boundary problem of an irregular crack-front. To compute even the leading correction to χ^{-1} , both the second and third order non-linearities in G are needed. But for velocities $v \ll c$, only the second order parts, S , are needed and there are some simplifications; we will focus on this regime here.

From the structure of the perturbative expansion, it can be seen that $\Sigma(k, \omega)$ vanishes for $k = \omega = 0$, and for small k and ω has the form

$$\Sigma(k, \omega) \approx i\omega A + BP(k, \omega) + O(\omega^2, k^2, P^2, P_2, i\omega^3 \dots) \quad (11)$$

with $P_2 = \int^\omega d\omega' \frac{\partial P(\omega')}{\partial v}$. From the above discussion, it should be clear that the coefficient A will be the most important, with $\tilde{A} \approx A[1 + O(B)]$. We are thus interested primarily in the weak randomness expansion of A .

For low velocities, inverse powers of $\bar{v}$ are associated with non-linearities from the random toughness and thus would be expected to dominate, with the natural expansion parameter being $\langle \gamma^2 \rangle c / \bar{v}$ [11]. But the leading low velocity contribution to the expansion of A is found to vanish exactly. The dominant term thus involves both the non-linearities from the random toughness and those from the changes in crack shape. Evaluating these terms yields

$$A \approx -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dq|q|}{2\pi} \Upsilon(q, k=0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega|k|}{2\pi\omega^2} \frac{[Im P(k, \omega)]^2}{|P(k, \omega)|^2} \quad (12)$$

which is independent of v . Corrections to this arise primarily from the randomness induced non-linearities with $\langle \gamma^2 \rangle^2 / v^2$ terms expected at next order. Thus the expansion should be good if $\langle \gamma^2 \rangle \ll v^2 / c^2$ (rather than the naive $\langle \gamma^2 \rangle \ll v / c$).

At low velocities, we thus conclude that the crack-front is unstable at long wavelengths, $\lambda > \lambda_c$. The $i\omega^3$ term in Σ and the sound wave damping stabilize the system for shorter wavelengths, $\lambda < \lambda_c$, with $\lambda_c \sim \max \left[\frac{c\tau_A}{\langle \gamma^2 \rangle}, l \left(\frac{c}{v} \right)^{3/2} \right]$; note that the second cutoff corresponds to modes with period much longer than the time, $\frac{l}{v}$, for the crack to advance a distance l . The most rapidly growing modes will have $\lambda \sim 2\lambda_c$.

At higher velocities, there are contributions of both signs to the order γ^2 terms in Σ and the sign of A depends on more details of the correlations, $\Upsilon(p, k)$, but at least for simple forms of the correlations, A can become positive for high velocities and the crack-front will be stable. [12]

We now consider various possible scenarios for mode I planar cracks. The simplest scenario, suggested by the perturbative analysis, is that there will be a regime of unstable velocities probably extending down to zero velocity—although the perturbative analysis certainly

fails there. Associated with this, one might expect hysteresis in the macroscopic velocity $\bar{v}$ versus the load K^∞ (or G^∞) with a stationary crack jumping to a finite velocity as the load is increased, with a statistically steady state moving phase that is only logarithmically rough—corresponding to $\tilde{A}(\bar{v}) > 0$. On decreasing the load, the crack would not stop until it reaches some lower critical load at which $\tilde{A} \rightarrow 0$ whereupon it would stop suddenly. Alternatively, for macroscopic systems, the hysteresis might disappear leaving a single-valued but discontinuous $\bar{v}(G^\infty)$ and a strongly fluctuating crack for G^∞ just above the critical load $G_c^\infty \approx \bar{\Gamma}[1 + O(\langle \gamma^2 \rangle)]$. The scenario with the stationary crack jumping to a finite velocity when the loading is increased, is consistent with our numerical results on a simplified model. [13]

Another possibility is that strongly non-linear fluctuations in the local velocity driven by the variable toughness might drive $\tilde{A}$ positive and stabilize the crack dynamics even for low velocities. But our understanding of the behavior near G_c^∞ in the quasi-static approximation suggests that this is unlikely [14]. Perhaps the most interesting possibility is that for some range of velocities, a non-trivial, non-linear statistical steady state might occur that is much rougher than logarithmic and perhaps characterized by propagating modes along the crack. This would be roughly analogous to the behavior of the KPZ [15] equation in dimension $d > 2$ for which both a non-trivial strongly fluctuating phase and a simple weakly fluctuating phase exist. Combinations of these possibilities could also occur. The behavior should depend on aspects of the correlations of the random toughness as well as its magnitude and on velocity dependent toughness that arises from other physics such as plasticity, dislocation emission, thermal creep, and small scale side branching.

Note that even in an ideal system with no toughness variations, thermal fluctuations can give rise to effects similar to those discussed here as the elastic energy needed to break a bond is less if the bond is already stretched due to thermal fluctuations. For v a substantial fraction of c , the growth rate of the fluctuation driven instability will be of order $\frac{1}{\tau} \delta^4$ with δ of order the ratio of the temperature to the bond breaking energies, and τ a microscopic time. In practice, the effects of the atomic scale physics on the effective $\tilde{\Gamma}(v)$ will probably dominate over thermal fluctuation effects.

One type of correlation in the toughness that is important to consider is *periodic* inhomogeneities—a crude approximation to some of the effects of a crystal lattice. In this case, weakly variable toughness can again be shown to lead to a perturbatively unstable crack-front at low velocities. But the short wavelength acoustic radiation that leads to the velocity dependent effective toughness arises from high order harmonics—of order $\frac{c}{v}$ —of the periodicity in the x -direction; thus at low velocities the leading perturbative effect can be strongly suppressed. This instability caused by non-steady motion in a periodic system would appear to be related to that found by

Marder and Gross in a one-dimensional “ball and spring” model of a crack [16].

We have shown here that both random and periodic inhomogeneities in the toughness can dynamically destabilize the front of a tensile crack moving in a plane and in general such cracks will be unstable to finite wavelength disturbances if their effective toughness decreases with velocity. Understanding the resulting dynamic states of the system as well as the more challenging problem of out-of-plane crack motion, we must leave for future work.

We would like to thank J. R. Rice and J. Morrissey for useful discussions and communicating their numerical results. This work has been supported in part by the NSF via DMR-9106237, 9630064 and Harvard University’s MRSEC.

-
- [1] B. Lawn, *Fracture of Brittle Solids*, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1993.
 - [2] P. Daguier, S. Henaux, E. Bouchaud and F. Creuzet, Phys. Rev. E **53**, 5637 (1996) and references therein.
 - [3] J. Fineberg *et al.*, Phys.Rev.Lett. **67**, 457 (1991).
 - [4] An account of various proposed criteria is given in H. Bergkvist and L. Guex, Int. J. Fracture **15**, 429 (1979).
 - [5] J. Hodgdon and J. P. Sethna, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 4831 (1993); B. Cotterell and J. R. Rice, Int. J. Fracture **16**, 155 (1980).
 - [6] E. S. C. Ching, J. S. Langer and H. Nakanishi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 1087 (1996).
 - [7] G. Perrin and J. R. Rice, J. Mech. Phys. Solids, **42**, 1047, (1994).
 - [8] L. B. Freund, *Dynamic Fracture Mechanics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
 - [9] J. R. Willis and A. B. Movchan, J. Mech. Phys. Solids **43**, 319 (1995).
 - [10] J. Morrissey and J. R. Rice, Trans. Amer. Geophys. Union **77**, F485, (1996).
 - [11] By analogy with charge density waves; see Onuttom Narayan and Daniel S. Fisher, Phys.Rev.B. **46**, 11520 (1992), and references therein.
 - [12] We note that in the scalar model rather different physics occurs: the short wavelength radiations lead to $\frac{d\Gamma}{dv} < 0$ resulting in a supersonic mode at low velocities. This mode is generically weakly unstable, resulting again in the instability of the moving crack-front. At higher velocities, depending on the microscopic details of the system, $\frac{d\Gamma}{dv}$ can change sign resulting in stable crack-fronts that are only logarithmically rough.
 - [13] S. Ramanathan and D. S. Fisher, Unpublished.
 - [14] If $\tilde{A}$ is large and positive, fluctuations will drive it towards low velocities, as can be shown following Ertaş and Kardar, Phys. Rev. E **49**, R2532 (1994) and Ref. [11]. This is likely to make the crack-front unstable.
 - [15] M. Kardar, G. Parisi and Y-C. Zhang, Phys.Rev.Lett, **56**, 889 (1986).
 - [16] M. Marder and S. Gross, J. Mech. Phys. Solids, **43**, 1, (1995).